

**AN ESTIMATED 2001 TEXAS-OKLAHOMA PRE-SEASON PRICE
SCHEDULE BASED ON MARKET HISTORY**

Jason Ward, Sukant Misra and Don Ethridge

Cotton Economic Research Institute

Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics

College of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources

Texas Tech University

Lubbock, TX

Abstract

Accurate price reporting in the cotton industry is important. The DPES preseason price schedule for Texas and Oklahoma is calculated each year to provide a means of examining the market history of quality premiums and discounts. This provides participants in the marketplace with access to a timely and reliable source of information that presents an accurate representation of the cotton market.

Introduction

The Daily Price Estimation System (DPES) is a computerized, econometric price analysis system that is maintained and operated by the Texas Tech University's Cotton Economics Research Institute, Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics. The DPES uses sales of cotton from electronic cotton markets to estimate and report prices and quality premiums and discounts for various quality combinations in West Texas and East Texas/Oklahoma producer markets. This system uses a set of computer programs and established statistical procedures to estimate cotton prices and quality premiums and discounts on a daily basis (Brown et al.; Brown and Ethridge).

Pre-Marketing Year Price Discovery

The Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loan program makes nonrecourse loans to producers based on a loan schedule that assigns loan levels for base quality with premiums and discounts for various quality deviations from that base. Over time, this loan schedule has come to be used for a variety of price determination purposes ranging from allocation of dividend payments to members of marketing associations to forward contract pricing. This schedule has also been used by the industry as a price discovery mechanism to determine cotton prices for the upcoming marketing year. As such, this demonstrates the importance to the industry of having a means of examining the price structure of the market before the season starts in order to facilitate a number of marketing functions.

DPES Pre-Season Price Schedule

Based on market history, Texas Tech University has developed a method of estimating a price schedule for the upcoming marketing year. This schedule is meant to serve as an additional pre-marketing year price discovery tool. This schedule is an extension of the work started by Carr and Ethridge in which the loan schedule used by the CCC was combined with DPES annual crop estimates (beginning in 1989) to create a price schedule, which had been adjusted for the coming year using actual market history.

Beginning in 1989, a weighted average of prices by number of bales per region (West Texas and East Texas/Oklahoma) was taken for the first seven months of each crop year. These average prices were then averaged with the 1989 CCC loan schedule to derive the adjusted 1990 DPES pre-season price schedule. The following year, the 1990 DPES price schedule was adjusted using a weighted average of prices for the first seven months of the 1990 crop year to derive the 1991 DPES pre-season price schedule. This method was used to adjust the DPES price schedule for each successive marketing year up to the current crop year (2001), which is presented in Table 1.

Although it is not possible to accurately forecast cotton prices or price movements for any forthcoming marketing year, the DPES pre-season price schedule does provide a means of examining prices, premiums and discounts based on actual market history, which may be used to provide buyers and sellers with an overall picture of the spot cotton market in Texas and Oklahoma.

Conclusions

Because of the importance of accurate price reporting to the cotton industry, participants in the marketplace should have access to a timely and reliable source of information that presents an accurate representation of the cotton market. Therefore, the DPES pre-season price schedule for Texas and Oklahoma is calculated each year and is distributed on a request basis to the cotton industry so as to provide additional price information to examine the market history of quality premiums and discounts. The pre-season price schedule is also posted on the Cotton Economics Research Institute web page, which can be accessed at (<http://www.aeco.ttu.edu/DPES/>).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Plains Cotton Cooperative Association and DTN Cotnet for their cooperation in obtaining the data used for this research and Phillip Johnson, Eduardo Segarra, and Jeff Johnson for their input and assistance. This research is supported Cotton Incorporated, the Texas State Support Committee, and the Committee for Cotton Research.

References

Brown, J.E. and D.E. Ethridge. "Functional Form Model Specification: An Application to Hedonic Pricing." *Ag.and Res. Econ. Review*, October 1995.

Brown, J.E., D.E. Ethridge, D. Hudson, and C. Engels. "An Automated Econometric Approach for Estimating and Reporting Daily Prices." *J. Agr. And Applied Econ.* 27 :2(December 1995): 409-422.

Carr, C. and D.E. Ethridge. "Evaluation of CCC Loan Premiums and Discounts for the Texas and Oklahoma Cotton Market." Texas Tech University Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics Cotton Economics Research Publication No. CER-96-2,1996.

Table 1. 2001 DPES Pre-Season Price Schedule for Texas and Oklahoma.

Color Grade and Staple Premiums and Discounts in Points/lb.^a

		Staple Length									
		26 - 28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37+
C o l o r	11	-1002	-829	-625	-445	-266	-90	85	257	427	594
	21	-1002	-829	-625	-445	-266	-90	85	257	427	594
	31	-1017	-846	-697	-464	-287	-111	63	233	402	490
	41	-1061	-894	-697	-518	-343	-171	Base	168	333	490
	51	-1177	-1016	-823	-653	-484	-318	-153	8	168	324
	61	-1375	-1225	-1041	-926	-722	-564	-410	-258	-108	39
	71	-1670	-1534	-1365	-1217	-1071	-927	-784	-646	-510	-377
G r a d e	12	-1137	-970	-773	-599	-427	-256	-87	79	243	403
	22	-1137	-970	-773	-599	-427	-256	-87	79	243	403
	32	-1166	-1001	-857	-633	-462	-293	-125	39	201	285
	42	-1239	-1079	-887	-719	-551	-386	-222	-62	96	251
	52	-1374	-1221	-1036	-874	-713	-554	-398	-244	-94	55
	62	-1572	-1430	-1255	-1145	-951	-801	-654	-510	-368	-230
	23	-1297	-1138	-950	-784	-620	-457	-296	-137	19	173
	33	-1337	-1180	-1043	-830	-668	-507	-347	-190	-37	45
	43	-1424	-1271	-1090	-930	-752	-615	-460	-307	-158	-10
	53	-1569	-1424	-1249	-1096	-945	-794	-647	-501	-358	-218
	63	-1769	-1635	-1470	-1366	-1183	-1042	-904	-768	-635	-504
	34	-1573	-1427	-1300	-1103	-952	-802	-654	-508	-365	-288
	44	-1673	-1533	-1365	-1217	-1071	-925	-782	-641	-503	-366
	54	-1826	-1693	-1531	-1389	-1268	-1112	-975	-841	-710	-580

Micronaire Differences		Leaf Grade Differences		Uniformity Differences		Strength Differences	
Mike Range	Disc.	Leaf Grades	Prem./ Disc.	Uniformity	Disc./ Prem.	Grams/Tex.	Disc./ Prem.
≤ 24	-921			≤ 77	-78	18	--
25 - 26	-779	1	138	78	-60	19	-160
27 - 29	-561	2	109	79	-42	20	-140
30 - 32	-339	3	71	80	-16	21	-120
33 - 34	-217	4	Base	81	Base	22	-92
35 - 49	Base	5	-103	82	16	23	-74
50 - 52	-333	6	-234	83	40	24 - 25	-53
≥ 53	-475	7	-390	84	45	26	-13
		Level 1	Level 2	85	59	27 - 28	Base
Bark		-274	-658	≥ 86	72	29	10
Preparation		-1226	-1692			30	18
Other Ext. Matter		-856	-949			31	31
						≥ 32	39

^a100 points = 1 cent